Quality of teacher–child interactions is central to prekindergarten children’s learning. In the United States, the quality of teacher–child interactions is commonly assessed using the teaching through interactions conceptual framework and an associated observational tool, the Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS). This study examined: (a) whether there was evidence supporting three distinctive domains of teacher–child interactions in Chile (construct validity) and (b) whether these domains predicted end-of-prekindergarten language, academic, and executive function skills in Chile (predictive validity). The sample consisted of 91 Chilean pre-kindergarten classrooms (1,868 four-year-old children). The findings support both construct and predictive validity of the teaching through interactions conceptual framework as assessed by the CLASS in Chile. Implications for cross-country comparison of quality of teacher–child interactions in prekindergarten classrooms are discussed.
Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS; Pianta, La Paro, & Hamre, 2008). Third, these studies have been conducted predominantly in the United States (Burchinal, Vandergrift, Pianta, & Mashburn, 2010; Burchinal et al., 2008; Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008; Weiland, Ulvestad, Sachs, & Yoshikawa, 2013). In this study, we contribute to this research by investigating whether and how the quality of teacher–child interactions, as conceptualized by the teaching through interactions framework and assessed by the CLASS, was valid in Chilean early childhood classrooms, and whether it predicted child outcomes. We focused on Chile because the organization of early childhood education settings in this country is in many important ways different from the U.S. context. Thus, Chile provided an excellent opportunity to examine how the organization of early childhood education settings (and the cultural values reflected in such organization) might shape the relations between adult–child interactions in the classroom and child development. Next, we discuss the teaching through interactions framework and its corresponding observational tool (the CLASS), and then discuss some organizational features of the Chilean early childhood education settings. The present study represents the second validity study of the CLASS outside the United States and the first such study in a developing country.

**Teaching Through Interactions Framework and Measurement**

The teaching through interactions conceptual framework is based on several developmental and educational theories including responsiveness, attachment, scaffolding, self-determination, and effective teaching (e.g., Bornstein, Tamis-LeMonda, Hahn, & Haynes, 2008; Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 1998). According to this framework, daily interactions between children and teachers within classrooms are the primary engine for children’s learning (Hamre & Pianta, 2007). There are three domains of teacher–child interactions that are central to children’s learning and development: emotional support, classroom organization, and instructional support.

Teachers’ emotional support in interactions with children fosters social development and learning. When teachers are sensitive and responsive in their interactions with children, children develop a sense of security that allows them to be more engaged and motivated in classroom activities, and consequently to be more likely to benefit from instruction (Landry, Anthony, Swank, & Monseque-Bailey, 2009). Emotional support in the classroom includes four dimensions: positive climate (teachers’ levels of warmth and respect between teachers and children and among children themselves), lack of negative climate (expressed negativity such as hostility and aggression between teachers and children and among children themselves), teacher sensitivity (teacher’s responsiveness to children’s academic and emotional needs), and regard for student perspectives (how teachers support children’s perspectives, interests, and motivations; Pianta et al., 2008).

Classroom organization and management support children’s self-regulation development, including executive function skills—the set of cognitive processes integral to the development of social and cognitive competence in young children (Raver, 2004). Teachers who model regulatory behaviors and attention help children internalize these self-regulation models, thus improving children’s own self-behavior management. Classroom organization has been proposed to reflect three dimensions: behavior management (how teachers set up clear behavioral expectations and monitor, prevent, and redirect misbehavior), productivity (how well teachers manage instructional time and routines), and instructional learning formats (how teachers capitalize on students’ engagement and interests; Pianta et al., 2008).

Teachers’ instructional interactions with children promote learning when they are intentional, focused, direct, and use feedback loops involving students’ performance. Teachers who provide children with opportunities to practice existing abilities and who scaffold complex skills enhance children’s cognitive and language development (Pianta, La Paro, Payne, Cox, & Bradley, 2002). Instructional support entails three dimensions: concept development (the degree to which teachers promote higher order thinking and focus on understanding), quality of feedback (how teachers provide feedback that encourages students’ participation), and language modeling (how teachers facilitate and model language use in the classroom; Pianta et al., 2008).

Evidence to support these three distinctive domains of teacher-child interactions and their associations with child learning and development has come from use of the CLASS observational tool (Pianta et al., 2008). The CLASS was first developed for the large-scale NICHD Study of Early Child Care (see NICHD ECCRN, 1996), and later improved and extended to cover other age groups (Hamre et al., 2013). The prekindergarten version of the CLASS has been adopted as a national monitor-
ing and professional development tool for the federal Head Start program, which serves approximately 900,000 preschool-aged children from low-income families in the United States (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, 2012). In addition, several states in the United States have integrated the CLASS into their quality rating and improvement systems.

In Latin America, the CLASS is currently being used in studies on prekindergarten and kindergarten quality in Colombia, Mexico, Ecuador, Costa Rica, and Chile. Researchers in Ecuador are using the CLASS to determine whether children’s learning would benefit from higher quality teacher–child interactions in the classroom (Araujo, Carneiro, Cruz-Aguayo, & Schady, 2014). None of these studies in Latin America have been published to date. Other international studies using the CLASS are being conducted in Finland, Norway, England, Spain, Germany, and Australia. However, there is scarce international evidence on the validity of this conceptual framework in countries other than the United States. Determining whether and how the teaching through interactions framework holds across populations with different sociocultural conditions is crucial to inform and complement theoretical models used by researchers.

**Validity of the Teaching Through Interactions Framework and CLASS Observational Tool**

The validity of the teaching through interactions conceptual framework and its corresponding observational tool, the CLASS, has been assessed in two ways: psychometric studies of whether the three domains of teacher–child interactions are distinct (construct validity) and predictive validity to child outcomes.

**Construct Validity**

Two studies have examined support for the hypothesized three distinctive domains of teacher–child interactions as assessed by the CLASS, using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). In the first, Hamre et al. (2013) tested whether observational data on a sample of over 4,000 classrooms in the United States fit the theorized three-factor model (emotional, organizational, and instructional support) versus alternative models, such as a single underlying factor (generally effective teaching), and a two-factor model (where classroom organization and emotional support belonged to a single factor). They found that the three-factor model as assessed by the CLASS best fit the observational data in the United States. Moreover, this three-factor model was invariant from prekindergarten to sixth grade. In the second study, Pakarinen et al. (2010) examined the existence of these three domains of teacher–child interactions as assessed by the CLASS in a sample of 49 kindergarten classrooms (semirural and urban) located in two different regions in Finland. Using CFA, the authors found that the three-factor model was a good fit to the Finnish observational data but only when negative climate, one of the dimensions of the emotional support factor, was excluded from the model. According to the authors, negative climate was not a relevant dimension differentiating classroom quality in Finland as most classrooms scored similarly, at a low level; thus, this dimension had poor discriminant validity. They also tested whether a single-factor model was a better fit to the Finnish data but found no evidence to support this alternative model. This study confirmed the validity of the three distinctive domains of teacher–child interactions for the first time outside the United States.

**Predictive Validity**

Data collected in various regions of the United States provide some support for the extent to which teacher–child interactions as assessed by the CLASS are predictive of preschoolers’ literacy, language, mathematics, and executive function skills (Burchinal et al., 2008; Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008; Pianta & Hamre, 2009; Weiland et al., 2013). Some studies have empirically demonstrated that higher emotional support by teachers is linked to gains in preschoolers’ academic skills including reading and math, and their social competence (Burchinal et al., 2010; Hamre & Pianta, 2005). However, the effect sizes of these predictive relations have been small (0.01–0.08). In other studies, the links between emotional support and children’s academic and social skills are null (Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008).

Two studies have provided empirical evidence of positive associations between classroom organization and preschoolers’ self-regulation, particularly better behavioral (Rimm-Kaufman, Curby, Grimm, Nathanson, & Brock, 2009) as well as inhibitory cognitive (Rimm-Kaufman et al., 2009; Weiland et al., 2013) control. Research with slightly older children (first graders) found no association with self-regulation skills but a significant relation between well-organized classrooms and gains in
children’s academic skills, particularly reading (Ponitz, Rimm-Kaufman, Brock, & Nathason, 2009). The effect sizes in the aforementioned studies were small to moderate (0.14–0.49).

Several studies have empirically demonstrated that teachers’ instructional support predicts gains in preschoolers’ language (receptive vocabulary and oral language comprehension), early mathematics, and literacy skills (letter-word identification, rhyming, and reading), beyond children’s maturation alone (Burchinal et al., 2008; Burchinal et al., 2010; Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008). Instructional support is also associated with one measure of executive function (inhibitory cognitive control; Weiland et al., 2013). The effect size of these associations was small, ranging from 0.002 to 0.32. Some studies have found null associations between instructional support and children’s academic skills (Burchinal, Kainz, & Cai, 2011; Weiland et al., 2013; Zaslow, Martinez-Beck, Tout, & Halle, 2011). Other studies have found no significant relations between instructional support and socioemotional skills (Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008).

Some researchers have explored nonlinear relations between domains of teacher–child interactions and child outcomes in the United States (Burchinal et al., 2010; Burchinal, Kainz, et al., 2011 Weiland et al., 2013). The rationale behind these studies is that, until the quality of teacher–child interactions (as assessed by the CLASS) reaches a minimal level, a positive association with child outcomes might not be observed. Burchinal, Kainz, et al. (2011) tested for quadratic relations between the three domains of teacher–child interactions and children’s socioemotional, language, literacy, and mathematics skills and found that these quadratic relations were statistically significant in several cases. In that study, these domains of teacher–child interactions were better predictors of child outcomes when they were in the higher range. Weiland et al. (2013) also found evidence for significant quadratic relations between the three domains of teacher–child interactions and one measure of children’s executive function (inhibitory control). Furthermore, using threshold analyses Burchinal et al. (2010) found that instructional support was a stronger predictor of higher language, reading, and mathematics skills in higher than lower quality classrooms. In turn, emotional support was a stronger predictor of higher social skills and lower levels of behavior problems in higher than in lower quality classrooms. The effect sizes in the aforementioned studies were small, ranging from −0.12 to 0.34 (with negative relations reflecting negatively valenced outcomes).

Only one study outside the United States has assessed the associations between teacher–child interactions and child outcomes (Pakarinen et al., 2011). In this study, higher instructional support in Finnish classrooms was associated with lower task-avoidant behavior in kindergarteners, which was in turn related to better children’s math skills (Pakarinen et al., 2011). The effect size of this association was not reported, and no other child outcome was examined in this study. No associations between task-avoidant behavior and emotional support or classroom organization were found.

**Early Childhood Education Settings for Children Ages 4–6 in Chile**

Culture shapes the way that adults and children interact and the patterns of adult–child interactions that matter for children’s development (Vygotsky, 1978). Several studies have demonstrated that depending on the sociocultural practices and the way learning settings are conceived and organized, children in certain communities may learn more from observation and listening than from action and verbalization (Rogoff, 2003). In non-child-centered societies, like much of Latin America, adults and children have marked statuses; adults seldom modify their speech for children, adults tend to engage in more unilateral decision making, and there is a great emphasis on values such as respeto (respect for others and self in different social groups/situations) and educación (teaching children to be moral, responsible, and socially skilled persons; Halgunseth, Ispa, & Rudy, 2006).

The way that Chilean early childhood education settings are organized for children ages 4–6 reflects some of these sociocultural values and practices that are pervasive in Latin America. Next, we provide a snapshot of early education in Chile for children ages 4–6. This snapshot is not intended to be exhaustive. Rather, it focuses mainly on public sector services and aims to provide a framework for exploring differences in the quality of teacher–child interactions based on particular sociocultural conditions.

Chile is known worldwide for having advanced state-of-the-art policies for early childhood education such as the social protection program, Chile Crecer Contigo (Chile Grows with You), which provides comprehensive care for children from low-income households (Peralta, 2011). Education for Chilean children ages 4–6 is not compulsory but is subsidized by the government for low-income families. About 80% of 4-year-olds are enrolled in a
public early education program (Ministerio de Educación, 2013). Center-based education for children ages 4–6 in Chile is provided by public-sector entities (e.g., public elementary school prekindergarten programs) and non-public-sector entities (e.g., private independently operated not-for-profit programs such as faith-based centers, and formal for-profit center-based care).

An important characteristic of early childhood education settings for children ages 4–6 in Chile is the way instruction is organized, which in turn reflects specific cultural values, beliefs, and practices in this country. In Chile, children’s skill development is mainly promoted through whole-group activities such as oral routines (singing and talking), free-drawing, and free-play (Yoshikawa et al., in press). Small group activities (e.g., activity centers) are rare in Chilean prekindergarten and kindergarten classrooms. There are several reasons for the prevalence of whole-group instruction in the early years in Chile. First, there is a high staff–child ratio. On average, there are two adults (a teacher and a teacher aide) for every 35 children in prekindergarten classrooms and two adults for every 45 children in kindergarten classrooms in public schools (Ministerio de Educación, 2013). With such high staff–child ratios, it is hard for teachers to simultaneously engage small groups of children in different activities while providing individualized support to all children. Second, classrooms tend to be small in size, which restricts the possibility of having different “corners” or “stations” with activities through which children can rotate during the school day. Classrooms are not thought of as places for children to move around. The typical setting involves small desks and chairs for every child, all facing the teacher and the blackboard. Children are expected to sit and listen for fairly long periods of time. Third, and perhaps more importantly, a Chilean norm, as is the case with some other Latin American cultures, is to place a relatively high value on relationships, the social group, and group cohesion or harmony (Harwood, Leyendecker, Carlson, Asencio, & Miller, 2002).

Thus, young children are expected to learn in early childhood programs how to interact, respect, and get along with their peers. There is less emphasis on developing the child’s instrumental independence, that is, the ability to perform tasks without an adult’s help (Harwood et al., 2002). Consequently, teachers typically assist prekindergarten children in everyday routines such as eating, getting dressed (i.e., putting on jackets), and tidying up after themselves. Overall, the typical school day is not very structured and a high percentage of the day is spent in noninstructional activities such as eating snacks, recess, and transitions (Strasser, Lissi, & Silva, 2009).

These sociocultural differences in early childhood education (e.g., beliefs about independence and group cohesion, emphasis on whole-group instruction, classroom space arrangements) present an excellent opportunity for examining the cross-cultural validity of the U.S.-designed and U.S.-based teaching through interactions framework and the CLASS measurement tool.

The Present Study

In the present study, we examined two research questions. First, we investigated whether there is evidence supporting the existence of three distinctive domains of teacher–child interactions, as assessed by the CLASS, in urban public prekindergarten programs in Chile. Second, we examined whether these three domains of teacher–child interactions predicted children’s language, early literacy, early numeracy, and executive function abilities. We selected these outcomes both because of their developmental importance and because we aimed to make comparisons with prior published studies, which focused on these abilities (Burchinal et al., 2008; Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008; Pianta & Hamre, 2009; Weiland et al., 2013). In line with prior research (Burchinal et al., 2008; Burchinal et al., 2010; Burchinal, Kainz, et al., 2011; Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008; Weiland et al., 2013), we tested for both linear and quadratic associations between the quality of teacher–child interactions as assessed by the CLASS and child outcomes, and used threshold (spline regression) techniques to determine whether the associations were stronger in certain quality ranges.

Given the characteristics of early childhood education settings in Chile, particularly in the type and frequency of instructional practices and the way classrooms are organized, which reflect specific sociocultural values and practices, we recognized the possibility that the domains of teacher–child interactions identified by the teaching through interactions conceptual framework and assessed by the CLASS in the United States might be different in Chile. This would indicate that domains of teacher–child interactions, as assessed by the CLASS, are subject to the particularities of early childhood education settings and the cultural beliefs and practices that support them. However, it was also possible that, regardless of the particularities in
instructional practices and organization in Chilean classrooms, these domains of teacher–child interactions identified by the teaching through interactions conceptual framework would be invariant in this particular country.

We expected to find small but significant associations between the three domains of teacher–child interactions as assessed by the CLASS and child outcomes.

Method

Participants and Setting

Our sample was composed of 91 public prekindergarten classrooms in 64 schools and included 1,868 children. Schools were drawn from six municipalities of Chile’s capital and largest city, Santiago. The municipalities were sampled to represent municipalities in Santiago with a high proportion of at-risk children, defined as a minimum of 20% at-risk children although most schools had much higher percentages (20%–70%). In Chile, at-risk children are identified by the Ministry of Education based on parent education, family income, and whether the family is a beneficiary of government subsidy (social and health benefits). Thus, children in the sample were predominantly from low-income families. Schools were recruited through municipal authorities, including representatives from mayors’ offices and departments of education and health, and invited to participate in the study. More details on how municipalities and schools were selected in this study are available elsewhere (Yoshikawa et al., in press). All children in the Chilean sample were Spanish-speaking monolingual 4-year-olds, and 52% were female. Active parental consent was obtained for children to participate in our study and was obtained for 98.5% children in participating schools and classrooms. Previous analyses showed that our sample was representative of a broader population of children and families from lower income municipalities in the city of Santiago based on the fourth-grade Chilean national reading and math (Sistema Nacional de Evaluación de Resultados de Aprendizaje [SIMCE]) scores, and a national composite variable indicating socioeconomic risk (Yoshikawa et al., in press).

Teachers’ mean age was 45.58 years, 46% had postgraduate education, and 58% had experience teaching at a private school (compared to public schools, private schools tend to be better funded and to pay teachers better in Chile). Sixteen percent had been teaching less than 5 years, 25% had 5–14 years of teaching experience, and 58% had 15 or more years of teaching experience. Thirty-two schools and 53 classrooms (50% of schools and 58% of classrooms) received an intervention program during the prekindergarten year. The two major foci of this intervention were language and health teaching practices. Importantly, the intervention did not involve using the CLASS as a professional development tool.

Procedures

Classroom-Level Assessment

Classrooms were videotaped for the entire duration of a randomly chosen school day (approximately 4 hr) at the end of prekindergarten. Care was taken with scheduling so that classroom videotaping occurred at times that were not disruptive and on days that were typical of the usual environment for that classroom (i.e., not on a day when a field trip was planned, nor when half the class, or the regular teacher, was out sick).

Criteria to select four 20-min video segments (cycles) to code classroom videotapes were developed based on the general live observation procedure guidelines included in the CLASS manual (Pianta et al., 2008). These criteria included: (a) 20 contiguous min without interruption, (b) segments included instructional time and noninstructional time (snack, transitions), (c) segments included a sampling of the school day (beginning, midday, and end of the day), and (d) at all times there were at least five children and a teacher or teacher aide in the video. In this way, the selected segment was representative of the average experience of a child in a classroom. Importantly, the CLASS has been validated for use in coding classroom videotapes (see Pianta et al., 2008). Several other studies have used the CLASS with videotapes of classrooms in the United States (Allen et al., 2013) and in Latin America (Araujo et al., 2014).

Child Assessments

Children were assessed at the beginning and end of prekindergarten. All assessors were Chilean and had a college degree in social sciences or education. Assessors went through an intensive training, which included practice administering the assessments (role play with other assessors and with children from schools not participating in the study). This team of assessors was different from the team that assessed the quality of teacher–child
interactions using the CLASS. To guarantee reliability in assessment administration, expert assessors visited the assessors during the 1st month of data collection. These experts gave continuous feedback to assessors to ensure reliability. Child assessments were conducted during one or two individual 30-to 50-min “pull-out” sessions. Assessors built rapport with the child and spent time in the classroom before assessing them individually. The order of administration of the tests was systematically varied. After each session, assessors rated the child’s learning behaviors and the task approach observed during the session.

**Measures**

**Teacher–Child Interactions**

The quality of teacher–child interactions was assessed using the CLASS (Pianta et al., 2008). The CLASS consists of three domains of teacher–child interactions (emotional, organizational, and instructional support) and involves 10 dimensions: positive climate, negative climate, teachers’ sensitivity, regard for student perspectives, behavior management, productivity, instructional learning formats, concept development, quality of feedback, and language modeling. This observational tool is based on a 7-point scale as follows; scores of 1 and 2 indicate low quality; scores of 3, 4, and 5 indicate mid range of quality; and scores of 6 and 7 indicate high quality.

A team of assessors went through a rigorous CLASS reliability process that included first passing a reliability test after training using U.S. classrooms videos (as any trained CLASS assessor in the United States would do), and then a second reliability test using Chilean classroom videos. The average Cohen’s kappa was .89. Trained assessors watched each of the four classroom video segments (cycles) for 20 min and then coded for about 10 min, as recommended by the CLASS manual.

**Children’s Language**

We used the Picture Vocabulary subtests of the Woodcock–Muñoz Language Survey–Revised Spanish Form (WMLS–R; Woodcock, Muñoz-Sandoval, Ruef, & Alvarado, 2005). This subtest measures receptive and expressive vocabulary. Past research has demonstrated high levels of internal reliability, predictive validity, and concurrent validity for this subtest (Schrank et al., 2005). In all analyses, we used raw scores for the subtest.

**Children’s Early Literacy**

We used two subtests of the WMLS–R (Woodcock et al., 2005). The Letter-Word Identification test assesses children’s ability to recognize letters and words. The Dictation subtest measures pre-writing (e.g., drawing lines, copying letters) and writing skills (e.g., upper- and lowercase letters, words). Both subtests have high levels of internal reliability, predictive validity, and concurrent validity (Schrank et al., 2005). Raw scores were used in all analyses.

**Children’s Early Numeracy**

We administered the Spanish version of the Applied Problems subtest, which is part of the Woodcock–Muñoz Batería III Pruebas de Aprovechamiento, Spanish Form (Muñoz-Sandoval, Woodcock, McGrew, & Mather, 2005). Past research has demonstrated high levels of internal reliability, predictive validity, and concurrent validity for this subtest (Schrank et al., 2005). In our analysis, we used the raw score total as an outcome.

**Children’s Executive Function**

We used the Pencil Tapping (PT; Diamond & Taylor, 1996) and the Dimensional Change Card Sort (DCCS; Zelazo, 2006) tasks to assess children’s executive function skills. The PT task measures cognitive inhibitory control, that is, the ability to suppress prepotent responses (Bierman et al., 2008). Children exhibiting cognitive inhibitory control can stop automatic responses and behaviors and use more appropriate ones (Diamond & Taylor, 1996). In the PT task, the child was asked to tap twice if the evaluator tapped once and tap once if evaluator tapped twice. Assessors first administered a set of practice trials to ensure that children understood the rules of the task. Children who passed the practice were then given 16 total trials. Scores represented the correct number of trials out of 16. The DCCS task measures children’s attention shifting or cognitive flexibility. In the DCCS, children were shown target cards that varied along the dimensions of color and shape (e.g., red and blue, rabbits and boats). Children were asked to sort the cards according to one dimension (shape or color) and then they were asked to sort the cards according to the other dimension. To confirm that children understood the rules, assessors administered practice items, followed by six trial items. To limit the
load on children’s working memory, between each item, either the child or the assessor repeated the sorting rule. The DCCS final total score represented the number of trials (of six) in which the child inhibited the prior criterion and correctly sorted the cards according to the new criterion. The order of the dimensions tested was counterbalanced (half of the children were asked to sort by shape first and then by color, while the other half were asked to sort first by color and then by shape). Both tasks were administered in Spanish.

**Child-Level Control Variables**

We controlled for child age and gender in analyses examining associations between domains of teacher–child interactions and child outcomes. Children’s age was measured in months (Age). We used a dichotomous indicator for gender (MALE), with male coded 1 and female coded 0. These covariates have been shown to predict child outcomes and have been commonly included in studies using the teaching through interactions conceptual framework (Burchinal et al., 2008, 2010; Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008).

**Teacher-Level Control Variables**

To improve precision, remove potential omitted variables bias, and in keeping with prior research (Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008; Pakarinen et al., 2011), in addition to controlling for child demographics, we also controlled for characteristics of teachers (e.g., teacher qualifications) that: (a) are part of the structural quality of classrooms and are known to influence child outcomes (Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008), and (b) are deemed important in the Chilean context (Ministerio de Educación, 2013).

Teacher covariates were teacher age in years (TEACHER_AGE), dichotomous variables reflecting private school teaching experience (PRIVATE), teacher postgraduate education (POSTGRAD), and teacher experience. Postgraduate education entails a 1-year teacher professional development course on a specific topic (e.g., special education) taken at a college or university and leading to a diploma. These postgraduate diplomas are not equivalent to a master’s degree in Chile. Teaching experience was represented by a set of categorical dummy variables corresponding to less than 5 years, 5–14 years, and 15 or more years; each variable was coded as 1 if the teacher fell into this category and 0 otherwise. All teacher variables were obtained from teacher surveys. If there was more than one lead teacher in the classroom, we averaged the teacher variables to create one classroom-level score (we did this for 25 of 90 classrooms). Although having more than one adult certified and/or functioning as a lead teacher in the classroom is not a common practice in Chile, it sometimes occurs given the specific hiring or class size conditions of certain schools.

**Data Analytic Approach**

To address our first research question—Is there evidence supporting the existence of three distinctive domains of teacher–child interactions, as assessed by the CLASS, in Chile?—we first fitted a CFA model to the Chilean sample. We fitted a three-factor model, followed by alternative two-factor models (classroom organization and emotional support as one factor, and instructional support as the other) and single-factor models. In these analyses, we freed up the intercepts for all observed variables. We used chi-square difference testing to compare model fit between the three-factor model and alternative models.

To address our second research question—Do these domains of teacher–child interactions, as assessed by the CLASS, predict children’s end-of-prekindergarten language, early literacy and numeracy, and executive function in the Chilean sample?—we used multilevel analyses techniques to adjust parameter estimates and standard errors for the clustering of children in schools (Murnane & Willett, 2010). All models included random effects for schools and fixed effects for municipalities.

To allow comparability between this and previous studies’ findings (Burchinal et al., 2008; Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008; Pianta & Hamre, 2009; Weiland et al., 2013), we used regression rather than structural equation modeling techniques to examine the associations between domains of teacher–child interactions and child outcomes. We fit separate models per outcome, one for each of the domains of teacher–child interactions, controlling for child scores at the beginning of prekindergarten, child and teacher characteristics, and municipality. In line with Burchinal, Kainz, et al. (2011) and Weiland et al.’s (2013) studies, we tested for both linear and quadratic associations between the three domains of teacher–child interactions and child outcomes. To test whether associations were stronger in certain quality ranges (i.e., threshold analyses), we used spline regression techniques, in which we allowed the fitted line to change slope but not intercept at a particular knot or threshold. Thresholds were set approximately at the levels considered...
“good” by CLASS developers: 5 for emotional support and classroom organization (Pianta et al., 2008). The threshold for instructional support was set to 2 based on our sample distribution (see Burchinal et al., 2010; Burchinal, Xue, Tien, Auger, & Mashburn, 2011; Wetland et al., 2013, for a similar procedure). CLASS scores were calculated as unit-weight averages of the dimensions within each domain of teacher–child interaction.

The percentage of missing classroom data at the end of prekindergarten was 16%. The percentage of missing child data ranged from 2% to 23% at the beginning of prekindergarten and from 8% to 15% at the end of prekindergarten. In all models, we assumed data were missing at random. In CFA models, we used full information maximum likelihood to impute missing data (Graham, 2009). In multilevel models, we used multiple imputations techniques (with 100 imputations) following Graham (2009).

Results

Program Effects

Before exploring our research questions, we first conducted CFA to determine whether there was measurement noninvariance in the Chilean sample. This was important because 53 of the Chilean classrooms received an intervention during the prekindergarten year and 38 did not (see Yoshikawa et al., in press, for details). In these analyses, we were not interested in whether the intervention changed the mean structure of the CLASS scores but whether it changed the measurement model. We compared the factor loadings and fit statistics of an unconditional model (three-factor model) with two other models including the intervention variable. Because the factor loadings and the fit statistics were very similar, we concluded that the intervention may have changed the mean structure but not the measurement model. Thus, the intervention variable was not included in further analysis.

Domains of Teacher–Child Interactions in Chile

Table 1 shows the correlation matrices for the domains of teacher–child interactions, as assessed by the CLASS, in the Chilean sample. Overall, CLASS dimensions within each domain of teacher–child interactions were modestly to moderately correlated in the Chilean sample. For example, positive climate and behavior management showed a 0.66 correlation in the Chilean sample (p < .001) and regard for student perspectives and quality of feedback showed a 0.46 correlation (p < .001). Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics of the CLASS domains of teacher–child interactions in Chile. The means of the emotional and instructional support but not classroom organization domains were slightly lower in the Chilean sample than what has been reported by the prior literature in the United States (approximately M = 5.50 for emotional support, M = 2.00 for instructional support, M = 4.20 for classroom organization; see Burchinal et al., 2008; Burchinal et al., 2010; Howes et al., 2008; Mashburn et al., 2008; Rimm-Kaufman et al., 2009; Pianta et al., 2008; Burchinal, Xue, Tien, Auger, & Mashburn, 2011; Wetland et al., 2013).
Weiland et al., 2013). Means were higher than reported in a recent study of kindergarten in Ecuador for emotional support and instructional support (4.07 and 1.15, respectively) but lower for classroom organization (4.79; C. Van Der Werf, personal communication, February 20, 2014).

For our first research question, a CFA model showed that the three-factor model did not fit the data well in the Chilean sample, $\chi^2(32) = 85.58$, $p < .001$, $\text{CFI} = 0.87$, $\text{TLI} = 0.82$, $\text{RMSEA} = 0.14$, $\text{SRMSR} = 0.07$, where $\text{CFI}$ = comparative fit index, $\text{TLI}$ = Tucker–Lewis reliability index, $\text{RMSEA} = \text{root mean square error approximation (square root of the estimated discrepancy due to approximation per degree of freedom)},$ and $\text{SRMSR} = \text{standardized root mean square residual (standardized difference between the observed covariance and predicted covariance)}$. Modification indexes suggested that the fit of the model would increase if we allowed the residuals of the following observed variables to correlate: behavioral management and positive climate, productivity and teacher sensitivity, negative climate and productivity, and instructional learning formats and regard for student perspectives. When these residual correlations were included in the model, the three-factor model had acceptable fit, $\chi^2(28) = 58.28$, $p < .001$, $\text{CFI} = 0.93$, $\text{TLI} = 0.88$, $\text{RMSEA} = 0.11$, $\text{SRMSR} = 0.06$.

In chi-square difference testing, we found that in this Chilean sample, the three-factor model fit statistically significantly better than the two-factor model—with emotional support and classroom organization as a single factor; Chile $df(2)$: $\chi^2$ difference

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$SD$</th>
<th>Range (min–max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional support</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.37–5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional support</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.00–3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom organization</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.50–5.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. $N = 91$. Percentage missing data was 16%. CLASS = Classroom Assessment Scoring System.

Figure 1. Fitted confirmatory factor analyses model in the Chilean sample.

* $p < .05$. *** $p < .001$. 
DCCS = Dimensional Change Card Sort.

Note. N = 1,868. Percentage of missing data was between 8% and 15%. WM = Woodcock–Muñoz; PT = Pencil Tapping; DCCS = Dimensional Change Card Sort.

Table 3
Descriptive Statistics for Child Outcomes at the Beginning and at the End of Prekindergarten

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Beginning of prekindergarten</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>End of prekindergarten</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>WM Vocabulary</td>
<td>1,711</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,710</td>
<td>21.95</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early literacy</td>
<td>WM Letter-Word</td>
<td>1,687</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,648</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early writing</td>
<td>WM Dictation</td>
<td>1,747</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,658</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early numeracy</td>
<td>WM Applied Problems</td>
<td>1,441</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,594</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>1,769</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>DCCS</td>
<td>1,836</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,723</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows the results of the multilevel modeling analyses examining the linear and quadratic relations between the three domains of teacher–child interactions assessed by the CLASS and child outcomes, controlling for child and teacher demographic variables, and child baseline skills. We translated all detected statistically significant linear relations shown in Table 4 into an effect size by multiplying the predictor’s coefficient by the standard deviation of the outcome (for a similar procedure, see Burchinal et al., 2010; Rimm-Kaufman et al., 2009; Weiland et al., 2013). The resulting effect size is Cohen’s d.

Overall, 14% of predictive linear and quadratic models yielded statistically significant results between the key question predictors and gains in child outcomes. There was a statistically significant and positive linear association between instructional support and gains in children’s early writing (Dictation: p < .05, d = .09), and gains in one measure of child executive function (cognitive inhibitory control, as measured by the PT task: p < .05, d = .06) at
Table 4
Relations Between Gains in Child Outcomes and Domains of Teacher–Child Interactions Assessed by the CLASS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Emotional support</th>
<th>Classroom organization</th>
<th>Instructional support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language (WM–Vocabulary)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.20)</td>
<td>−3.84 (2.87)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early literacy (WM–Letter-Word Identification)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.20)</td>
<td>−2.11 (2.80)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early writing (WM–Dictation)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.11)</td>
<td>−2.41 (1.55)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early numeracy (WM–Applied Problems)</td>
<td>−0.23 (0.23)</td>
<td>−2.06 (3.14)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function (PT)</td>
<td>−0.27 (0.27)</td>
<td>−2.41 (3.99)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function (DCCS)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.53 (2.20)</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Models control for child and teacher demographics. Multilevel modeling and multiple imputation were used. CLASS = Classroom Assessment Scoring System; WM = Woodcock–Muñoz; PT = Pencil Tapping; DCCS = Dimensional Change Card Sort. 

*p < .05. **p < .01.
the end of prekindergarten. The effect sizes of these associations were small. No other associations between instructional support and child outcomes were found. Furthermore, no significant linear associations were found among child outcomes and classroom organization or emotional support.

There also were significant and positive quadratic associations between classroom organization and gains in language, early writing, and early numeracy skills at the end of prekindergarten. This indicates that the relation between classroom organization and child outcomes (language, early writing, and early numeracy) appears to be stronger at higher levels of classroom organization. Notably, the shape and strength of the quadratic relations found in this study are similar to those reported by prior research (Burchinal, Kainz, et al., 2011; Weiland et al., 2013). In the online Supporting Information, we include a figure illustrating the fitted quadratic association between classroom organization assessed by the CLASS and child outcomes (see Figure S1). No statistically significant quadratic relations were found between emotional or instructional support and child outcomes, and no statistically significant associations were found between children’s executive function and the three domains of teacher–child interactions assessed by the CLASS.

Threshold/Spline Regression Analyses Between Domains of Teacher–Child Interactions and Child Outcomes in Chile

Table 5 shows the results from applying spline regression techniques using conceptually determined cut points derived from the CLASS manual (Pianta et al., 2008), and used elsewhere in this literature (see Burchinal et al., 2010; Burchinal, Xue, et al., 2011) as follows: 5 for emotional support and classroom organization. For instructional support, we used a slightly lower cut point (2.00) than elsewhere in the literature (2.75) because of the distribution of our data. Only 15% of classrooms had instructional support scores > 2.75. The percentage of classrooms falling below our selected cut point values were as follows: emotional support, 72% (N = 46); instructional support, 72% (N = 46); and classroom organization, 81% (N = 52).

Using this technique, 28% of the predictive models yielded statistically significant results between CLASS classroom quality constructs and gains in children’s outcomes. Within its higher range, classroom organization showed a positive and statistically significant association with children’s language skills (p < .01, d = .07), early writing (Dictation: p < .01, d = .10), and early numeracy (Applied Problems: p < .05, d = .07). Higher range emotional support was positively and statistically significantly associated with children’s early writing (Dictation: p < .05, d = .10). Within its lower range, emotional support was negatively related to lower levels of executive function (PT task: p < .05, d = −.03). Levels of instructional support were not related to child outcomes in this analysis. No other significant association was found between levels of classroom quality and gains in child outcomes. Effect sizes (Cohen’s d) were all small, for lower and higher quality levels.

Discussion

This is one of the first studies conducted outside the United States to offer evidence supporting the existence of three domains of teacher–child interactions that are central to children’s learning in the

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Emotional support</th>
<th>Classroom organization</th>
<th>Instructional support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language (WM–Vocabulary)</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>−0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early literacy (WM–Letter-Word Identification)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early writing (WM–Dictation)</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early numeracy (WM–Applied Problems)</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>−0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function (PT)</td>
<td>−0.78</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function (DCCS)</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Models control for child and teacher demographics. Multilevel modeling and multiple imputation were used. CLASS = Classroom Assessment Scoring System; WM = Woodcock–Muñoz; PT = Pencil Tapping; DCCS = Dimensional Change Card Sort.

*p < .05. **p < .01.
classrooms. These domains have been previously conceptualized through the teaching through interactions framework (Hamre & Pianta, 2007) and assessed by the CLASS (Pianta et al., 2008). Our data supported the existence of three distinctive yet interrelated domains of teacher–child interactions in Chile: emotional support, classroom organization, and instructional support. We also found correlational evidence that children made stronger gains in language, academic (early writing and numeracy), and executive function (i.e., cognitive inhibitory control but not attention shifting) skills when classroom emotional support, classroom organization, and instructional support were higher.

Notably, our findings are consistent with prior research conducted in U.S. prekindergarten and kindergarten classrooms supporting the teaching through interactions framework (e.g., the National Center for Early Development and Learning [NCEDL] Multi-State Pre-K; Pianta et al., 2005; and the NCEDL State-Wide Early Education Pre-K Program). In particular, the magnitudes of the indexes of fit of the models tested with Chile data in our study were similar to those in these previous U.S. studies and to those reported in the Hamre et al. (2013) and Pakarinen et al. (2010) studies. Overall, this study supports the generalizability of the inferences regarding the value of teacher–child interactions in children’s learning and development, based on a conceptual framework and an observational measure developed in North America, to urban Chile.

In line with prior research conducted in Chile (Strasser et al., 2009), and Araujo et al.’s (2014) study using the CLASS in Ecuador, we found that instructional support in prekindergarten classrooms in Chile was low ($M = 1.75$ in Chile, $M = 1.15$ in Ecuador). In the United States, instructional support tends to be in the low-middle range ($Ms = 1.84$ to 2.68), while in Finland it is in the middle range ($M = 3.97$). Two plausible reasons for such low levels of instructional support in Chile are the lack of coaching in teacher professional development programs and research-based curricula to support classroom instruction (Yoshikawa et al., in press). Although the Chilean government recently developed national curricular bases of early childhood education and care (Peralta, 2011), they serve more as benchmarks than content-based guidelines to support pedagogical practices in the classroom. We also found that emotional support and classroom organization in Chilean classrooms were in the middle range ($Ms = 4.65$ and 4.29, respectively). In the United States and Finland, these domains of teacher–child interactions tend to be in the middle-high range (United States: $Ms = 4.36$ to 5.70 in emotional support and 4.66 to 4.97 in classroom organization; Finland: $Ms = 5.3$ and 5.34, respectively).

Another main contribution of this study is the evidence of positive and statistically significant associations, albeit small, between the domains of teacher–child interactions conceptualized by the teaching through interactions framework and children’s outcomes. Specifically, in linear models, higher instructional support was linked to larger gains in prekindergarten children’s academic skills (early writing) and executive function (cognitive inhibitory control). In both quadratic and spline models, higher levels of classroom organization were linked to larger gains in children’s language and academic skills (early writing and numeracy). In addition, in spline models, classroom emotional support predicted gained in children’s academic skills (early writing), but only in the higher range of emotional support. Notably, all three domains of teacher–child interactions (emotional support, classroom organization, instructional support) in our Chilean sample were related to at least one measure of children’s academic skill. Our findings are in line with prior studies documenting within-domain contributions, that is, instructional support influences academic and language skills, and cross-domain contributions of teacher–child interactions to children’s developmental outcomes, that is, emotional support influences academic and executive function skills, instructional support influences executive function skills, and classroom organization influences academic and language skills (for a review of within- and cross-domain contributions, see Downer et al., 2010). Taken together, our findings suggest that the pathways through which quality of teacher–child interactions may influence children’s development and learning are complex, and they further support the idea that children’s socioemotional, self-regulation, cognitive, and academic learning are intertwined, particularly in the early years.

Across models, the magnitude of the effect sizes of the associations between domains of teacher–child interactions and gains in children’s outcomes in Chile was small. This is not surprising considering the consistently small size of the association between the CLASS factors and child outcomes in other studies conducted in the United States, with most effect sizes under 0.20. These small effect sizes are found, regardless of type of early education center or model specifications, including linear, nonlinear, and threshold or spline regression models and
actions that improve children’s academic, language, and executive function skills (Burchinal et al., 2010; Zaslow et al., 2011); (b) the need to make more repeated observations of classrooms given the variability within teachers’ practices, and the need to look for other characteristics of children’s classrooms that contribute to their learning (Zaslow et al., 2011); and (c) measurement error: A study in Ecuador that randomly assigned 15,000 kindergarteners to classrooms found that the CLASS was a stronger predictor of children’s outcomes when the teacher’s CLASS score from the previous year was used to instrument their current year score (e.g., effect size for language and a CLASS total score was 0.06 in an OLS specification vs. 0.15 in an IV specification; Araujo et al., 2014).

There are two important caveats that lead to the need for caution in the interpretation of our results and the implications for studies conducted outside the United States using the teaching through interactions framework and its corresponding observational tool, the CLASS. First, correlations among residuals in emotional support and classroom organization in our models indicate shared variance that is not explained by either of these constructs—despite the fact that the two-factor model (i.e., grouping emotional support and classroom organization into a single domain) does not have a better fit than the three-factor model. The common component that is revealed by the correlation among residuals might indicate issues related to the CLASS—the observational instrument itself (i.e., shared method variance) or possibly, the existence of a new, fourth domain of teacher–child interactions that contributes to children’s learning and development. Although this is the first published study to report these correlations among residuals, other studies in the United States, Finland, and Ecuador have reported remarkably strong relations between and among the domains of teacher–child interactions (Araujo et al., 2014; Hamre et al., 2013; Pakarinen et al., 2010). To a certain extent, this is not surprising because many of the teachers’ behaviors examined by this framework and assessed by the CLASS are likely to depend on each other and to covary. For example, a teacher who is supportive of children’s autonomy and encourages their talk (i.e., high regard for student perspectives, within emotional support) is more likely to maximize students’ engagement, interests, and their abilities to learn from classroom activities (i.e., high instructional learning formats, within classroom organization).

To further understand the relations between and among domains of teacher–child interactions, Hamre, Hatfield, Pianta, and Jamil (2014) used bifactor analysis rather than CFA to analyze data collected on 325 preschool classrooms in the United States. There are some trade-offs of using bifactor analysis versus CFA (Reise, 2012). On the one hand, bifactor analyses are particularly suitable for representing measures of broad constructs that include multiple and distinct domains, allowing researchers to interpret the results more easily. On the other hand, assuming orthogonality (i.e., perfectly independent factor structure) as bifactor models require might not necessarily capture the true nature of the phenomenon at stake and limits the applications of this technique. Given these trade-offs, we decided that as a first step toward examining the validity of the CLASS in other cultural contexts we should use a CFA rather than a bifactor analysis. Because CLASS data have been recently collected from Ecuador (Araujo et al., 2014) and Colombia (Maldonado-Carreño & Votruba-Drzal, 2014), in future work we will examine whether using bifactor analyses with CLASS data in more than one Latin American country yields similar results to those found with U.S. data. In particular, it would be interesting to test whether associations with child outcomes hold when domains of teacher–child interactions are entered simultaneously into prediction models—one of the main advantages of bifactor analyses.

The second caveat is related to negative climate, one of the dimensions pertaining to the domain of emotional support. In our model, negative climate had a lower contribution (loading of .23) to the emotional support domain than other dimensions making up that domain (loadings above .61). This result is in line with data from Finland showing that negative climate had poor discriminant validity. This was because most classrooms received the same (lowest) score indicating that negativity is seldom present. The authors thus excluded it from their final model of classroom quality (Pakarinen et al., 2010). In the case of Chile, on the other hand, negative climate scores did show variation. However, the negative climate item contributed only
Negative climate is an excellent illustration of how culture shapes adult–child interactions and why studies of cross-cultural validity of tools like the CLASS are essential. Culture determines the patterns of teacher–child interactions that are central to children’s development and learning. According to the teaching through interactions conceptual framework, negative climate reflects the presence of negativity in the classroom, including sarcasm, disrespect, and severe negativity (i.e., bullying, victimization), punitive control (i.e., yelling, threats, physical control), and negative affect (i.e., anger, peer aggression, irritability), and is negatively associated with child development (Pianta et al., 2008). However, in the Chilean context, as in many other Latin American countries, behaviors such as teasing, sarcasm, and shaming are sometimes seen by adults as acceptable social mechanisms to control children’s behavior (Miller, 1986). Teasing may serve to secure obedience from the child, direct the child’s attention, and simultaneously engage in fun and amusement. In certain communities, teasing is not interpreted as an attack or threat because both adults and children recognize it as a “familiar script” and appreciate the function it serves (Miller, 1986). From this perspective, it is evident why low negative climate in Chilean classrooms might not be related to high emotional support. In studies using the CLASS in Colombia (Maldonado-Carreño & Votruba-Drzal, 2014) and Ecuador (Araujo et al., 2014), negative climate showed a weaker correlation with emotional support than the other component subscales. These results raise the issue of whether negative climate is a relevant dimension of teacher–child interactions in countries other than the United States. Further research is needed in order to understand the construct of negative climate and its relation to teacher–child interactions in countries other than the United States.

One limitation of the present study is the method used to collect Chilean classroom data. Typically, studies in the United States have followed a live coding procedure where assessors observe classrooms in cycles of 20 min and then score each dimension of teacher–child interaction using a 7-point scale (see Pianta et al., 2008). In our study, we videotaped classrooms for the entire duration of the school day and selected four 20-min video segments based on the same criteria used in the CLASS manual. Although other published studies have used this same videotape procedure in the United States (Allen et al., 2013) and in Latin America (Araujo et al., 2014), we acknowledge that this methodological variation requires further investigation. Hence, replication using live coding of Chilean classrooms is important to further validate our findings. We also acknowledge that: (a) our sample is not representative of all prekindergarten programs in Chile; we have yet to determine whether our findings are specific to urban areas and public prekindergarten programs in Chile; and (b) we did not include assessments of socioemotional competence; typically, emotional support is positively associated with children’s social and emotional skills. Thus, we might be getting a limited view of the predictive validity of this particular domain of teacher–child interactions in this study.

In light of the many particularities of the organization of early childhood education settings in Chile, it is remarkable that we found evidence for both construct and predictive validity of the teaching through interactions conceptual framework as assessed by the CLASS. Such evidence has policy implications, as the ability of researchers and policy makers to make informed evaluations of early childhood programs and investments is contingent upon having a common scale derived from cross-study assessments. In the United States, cross-study data have been critical in revealing that the quality of instructional support in preschool programs is quite low (Yoshikawa et al., 2013). Validating the CLASS in other settings can help us to understand how the quality of teacher–child interactions varies across settings and countries, and can help in targeting early childhood education reforms and resources accordingly.

In the past decades, Chile has dramatically increased its investment in early childhood education; many of the services that were originally reserved for the most privileged portion of the population are now accessible to most Chilean families (Contreras, Herrera, & Leyton, 2007). As a result, prekindergarten and kindergarten and programs in Chile are starting to be seen as opportunities for cognitive and literacy development, rather than simply as places for socialization and play. Valid assessments of classroom quality are an essential part of maximizing the returns of Chile’s early childhood investments.
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